## **FILED**

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL

## **OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

APR 14 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT No. 21-90138

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a magistrate judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Judicial-Conduct Rules"), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 et seq., and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. See 28 U.S.C. \$ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the magistrate judge committed misconduct by ignoring evidence and improperly recommending to the district judge that complainant's motions for a preliminary injunction and a temporary restraining order be denied. Complainant also alleges the magistrate judge improperly denied his motions for sanctions and motions for the magistrate judge to recuse from complainant's case. Because these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings, the complainant has not alleged facts that might amount to judicial misconduct, and therefore the charge must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including claims directly related to the merits of a decision); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing allegations that a magistrate judge made various improper rulings as merits-related); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also claims the magistrate judge engaged in retaliation, prejudicial conduct, failed to perform his duties, and treated complainant in an "egregiously hostile manner" evidenced by the magistrate judge's failure to rule in complainant's favor. However, adverse rulings are not proof of misconduct, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, which are dismissed as unfounded. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); <u>In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 650 F.3d 1370, 1371 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) ("adverse rulings do not prove bias or conspiracy"); <u>In re Complaint</u> <u>of Judicial Misconduct</u>, 569 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009) ("claimant's vague insinuations do not provide the kind of objectively verifiable proof that we require"); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

## **DISMISSED.**